## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JOSHUA CARMACK,

No. 2:21-cv-01887-YY

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, KEVIN JACKSON, THERON RUMSEY, ABRAHAM CAMPOS, and JOHN DOE,

Defendants.

## HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge You issued a Findings and Recommendation on February 2, 2023, in which she recommends that this Court grant Defendants' motion for summary judgment and dismiss all Plaintiff's claims without prejudice. F&R, ECF 25. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Case 2:21-cv-01887-YY Document 28 Filed 05/03/23 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

Pl. Obj., ECF 27. When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and

Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the

Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th

Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

The Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's objections and concludes that there is no

basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent

portions of the record de novo and finds no error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and

Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge You Findings and Recommendation [25].

United States District Judge

Therefore, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [10] is GRANTED. All of Plaintiff's

claims are DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ May 3, 2023

2 - ORDER